

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 09/883,966 | 06/20/2001 | Koichi Numata | 109237 4446 | | |
| 25944 OLUCE & DED | 7590 12/26/2006 | EXAMINER | | | |
| OLIFF & BER P.O. BOX 1992 | 28 | HANDAL, KAITY V | | | |
| ALEXANDRIA | A, VA 22320 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1764 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 12/26/2006 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , |
|----|
| (. |
| X |
| v |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 09/883,966 | NUMATA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Kaity Handal | 1764 | | |

| Advisory Action | 09/883,966 | NUMATA ET AL. | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| ' | | | | | | | |
| | Kaity Handal | 1764 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | | • | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>08 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | • | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN | | | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | 100/ > 14 | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief, | will <u>not</u> be entered be | ecause | | | | |
| (a) X They raise new issues that would require further co | | TE below); | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | • | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | tter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rei | ected claims | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | colod ciairiis. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | • | , | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | |
| 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | □ will not be entered, or b) □ wi | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- | vided below or appended. | | · | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment presents new limitations which would require further consideration and search..

Min